

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/584,226	Applicant(s) BLUM ET AL.	
	Examiner Patricia L. Nordmeyer	Art Unit 1783	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia L. Nordmeyer.

(2) Sean Mellino.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
3, 5, 11 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1783

Status of Application: Under Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 4:15 p.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Mellino with regard to amending claims 3 and 5 to clarify which layer of the sealing tape contained the claimed compositional makeup. Claims 11 and 12 are being cancelled as they are part of divisional application directed towards the method of making..